arXiv:1206.2704v2 [math.AG] 19 Jun 2012

ON THE TORSION OF CHOW GROUPS OF SEVERI-BRAUER VARIETIES

SANGHOON BAEK

Abstract. For a large class of central simple algebras we provide upper bounds for the annihilators of the torsion subgroups of the Chow groups of the corresponding Severi-Brauer varieties.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime and A a central simple algebra of p-power degree over a field. We denote by $\mathrm{SB}(A)$ the corresponding Severi-Brauer variety. Consider the Grothendieck ring $K(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ and its gamma filtration $\Gamma^d K(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ for $d \geq 0$. By a theorem of Quillen, the gamma filtration on $K(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ is determined by the indices of (tensor) powers of A [2, Corollary 3.2].

Based on this observation, Karpenko [2] introduced the sequence of the exponents of distinct indices of powers of A, which we call the *reduced sequence* of A (see Section 2). Moreover, he showed that the torsion part of the 2nd quotient $\Gamma^2 K(\mathrm{SB}(A))/\Gamma^3 K(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ of the gamma filtration is determined by a certain index of the reduced sequence. Note that the 0th and the 1st quotients are torsion-free.

Now we consider the torsion part of Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ of cycles modulo the rational equivalence relation. For $d = 0, 1$, they are all torsion free. However, for $d = 2$ there is torsion and it is shown that the torsion part is annihilated by the order of torsion subgroup of $\Gamma^2 K(\mathrm{SB}(A))/\Gamma^3 K(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ in [2].

In this paper, for a large class of central simple algebras we provide upper bounds for the annihilators of the torsion subgroups of Chow groups of the corresponding Severi-Brauer varieties. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a central simple algebra of p-power degree such that* $\mathrm{ind}(A) > \exp(A)$ *(i.e., there exist indices k_j of the reduced sequence (n_k) satisfying $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$) and $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$. Then for any $2 \leq d \leq p$ (for $d = p$, we assume that $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$), the torsion subgroup* $\mathrm{Tors}\,\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ *is annihilated by*

$$N^{d-1} \qquad \text{if } n_{k_j} + 2k_j \geq n_0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

$$N^{d-t} \prod_{i=2}^{t} P_i \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } n_{k_l} + 2k_l < n_0 \text{ for some } 1 \leq l \leq m \\ \text{and } n_{k_j} + 2k_j \geq n_0 \text{ for all } j \text{ but } l, \end{array}$$

where $N = p^{n_0 - n_{k_m} - k_m}$, $M_i = p^{(i-1)k_l}$, $t = \max\{2 \leq s \leq d \,|\, n_{k_l} + sk_l < n_0\}$, $P_i = \max\{M_i, N\}$ *if* $m \geq 2$, *and* $P_i = M_i$ *otherwise.*

Observe that our bound depends on the degree d and the difference between n_0 and $n_{k_m} + k_m$ for the first case of Theorem 1.1. Similarly, the bound depends on d, k_l, and $n_0 - n_{k_m} - k_m$ for the second case. In general, the only known bound for the annihilator of $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^d(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ of any degree is the index of A, which is p^{n_0}.

2. Torsion in the gamma filtration

In the present section we recall some known results on the gamma filtration of the Grothendieck ring K of a Severi-Brauer variety. We refer to [2] for details. The goal of this section is to compute the annihilator of the torsion of the quotient $\Gamma^d K(\operatorname{SB}(A))/\Gamma^{d+1}K(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ for any $d \geq 2$ (see Proposition 2.5).

Let A be a central simple algebra of $\deg(A)$ over a field F. Consider the restriction map

$$K(\operatorname{SB}(A)) \to K(\mathbb{P}^{\deg(A)-1}_{F_{\text{sep}}}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x-1)^{\deg(A)},$$

where we identify $\operatorname{SB}(A)$ over the separable closure F_{sep} with the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{\deg(A)-1}_{F_{\text{sep}}}$ and x is the class of the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{\deg(A)-1}_{F_{\text{sep}}}$. Then by [3, §8 Theorem 4.1] the image of this map coincides with the sublattice with basis $\langle(\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes i}) \cdot x^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \deg(A) - 1\rangle$. Hence, as observed in [2, Corollary 3.2] the gamma filtration on $K(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ depends only on $\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes i})$.

Given a central simple algebra A of p-power degree, let $n_k = v_p(\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes p^k}))$ for $0 \leq k \leq v_p(\exp(A))$. As introduced in [2], the sequence (n_k) is called the *reduced sequence* of A. Indeed, the elements of this sequence are distinct elements of $\{\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes i}) \,|\, i \geq 0\}$. This sequence is strictly decreasing such that the last term is 0. Moreover, by [2, Lemma 3.10], any such sequence is the reduced sequence of a division algebra of p-power degree.

Let A be a central simple algebra of p-power degree and (n_k) be the reduced sequence. For any integer $i_k \geq 0$, we write $a(n_k, i_k)$ for $p^{n_k}/\gcd(i_k, p^{n_k})$. Then, by [2, Proposition 4.1], the d-th gamma filtration $\Gamma^d K(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ of the corresponding Severi-Brauer variety $\operatorname{SB}(A)$ is generated by

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\exp(A)} a(n_k, i_k)(x^{p^k} - 1)^{i_k} \text{ for all } \sum_{k=0}^{\exp(A)} i_k \geq d, \tag{1}$$

where x is the class of the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{\deg(A)-1}_{F_{\text{sep}}}$.

Indeed, the number of generators in (1) can be reduced as follows.

Lemma 2.1. [2, Proposition 4.10] *Let A be a central simple algebra of p-power degree and (n_k) be the reduced sequence. Then, the d-th gamma filtration $\Gamma^d K(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ of the corresponding Severi-Brauer variety $\operatorname{SB}(A)$ is generated by* (1) *with the condition that $i_k = 0$ for all k satisfying $n_k = n_{k-1} - 1$.*

If the index is equal to the exponent of a central simple algebra of p-power degree, then by [2, Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.6], the subsequent quotient of the gamma filtration of the corresponding Severi-Brauer variety has no torsion. Therefore, for torsion it is enough to consider the case where the exponent is less than the index of algebra. By [2, Example 3.9], if the index of a central simple algebra A of p-power degree is equal to the exponent of A, then the reduced sequence of A is (n_k), where $n_k = v_p(\operatorname{ind}(A)) - k$. In other words, $\operatorname{ind}(A) > \exp(A)$ if and only if there exist a positive integer m and $k_1, \cdots, k_m \in [1, v_p(\exp(A))]$ such that $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$ and $k_1 < \cdots < k_m$, where we set $k_0 = 0$. In this case, we can further reduce the number of generators in the quotient $\Gamma^d K(\mathrm{SB}(A))/\Gamma^{d+1}K(\mathrm{SB}(A))$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a central simple algebra of p-power degree such that $\operatorname{ind}(A) > \exp(A)$ and (n_k) its reduced sequence, i.e., there exist $m \geq 1$ and $k_1, \cdots, k_m \in [1, v_p(\exp(A))]$ such that $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$ and $k_1 < \cdots < k_m$. Set $k_0 = 0$ and $g_j = a(n_{k_j}, d)(x^{p^{k_j}} - 1)^d$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$. If $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$, then for any $d \leq p$ the classes of $g_0, \cdots, g_m$ generate $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$, where $X = \mathrm{SB}(A)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1,

$$f := \prod_{l=0}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})(x^{p^{k_l}} - 1)^{i_{k_l}}, \ \sum_{l=0}^{m} i_{k_l} = d, \ i_{k_l} \geq 0$$

generate $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. We show that any f is generated by $g_0, \cdots, g_m$.

We first assume that $1 \leq i_{k_0} \leq d-1$. Then, by the assumption that $d \leq p$, we have $a(n_{k_0}, i_{k_0}) = p^{n_{k_0}}$, $a(n_{k_0}, d) = p^{n_{k_0}}$ if $d < p$, and $a(n_{k_0}, d) = p^{n_{k_0}-1}$ otherwise. Let $y = x - 1$, $h(u) = \sum_{v=1}^{u} \binom{u}{v} y^v$, and

$$e = a(n_{k_0}, i_{k_0})a(n_{k_0}, d)^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l}) \cdot p^{\sum_{l=1}^{m} k_l i_{k_l}}.$$

Then, we have

$$f - eg_0 = p^{n_{k_0}} y^{i_{k_0}} \big(\prod_{l=1}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l}) h(p^{k_l})^{i_{k_l}} - \prod_{l=1}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l}) \cdot p^{\sum_{l=1}^{m} k_l i_{k_l}} y^{d - i_{k_0}} \big). \tag{2}$$

As $\sum_{l=1}^{m} i_{k_l} = d - i_{k_0}$, there is no term of degree less or equal to d in (2). Moreover, each term of power of y in (2) is a multiple of $p^{n_{k_0}}$. Therefore, $f - eg_0 \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$.

Now we assume that $m \geq 2$ and $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$. We may assume that $i_{k_0} = 0$ as we have done for $i_{k_0} \neq 0$ in the previous case. We show that any

$$f := \prod_{l=1}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})(x^{p^{k_l}} - 1)^{i_{k_l}}, \ \sum_{l=1}^{m} i_{k_l} = d, \ i_{k_l} \geq 0$$

is a multiple of g_0 modulo $\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ by induction on m. If $i_{k_l} = d$ for some l, then $f = g_l$, so we may assume that $i_{k_l} \leq d-1$ for all $1 \leq l \leq m$.

Let $m = 2$ and $e' = a(n_{k_1}, i_{k_1})a(n_{k_2}, i_{k_2})a(n_{k_0}, d)^{-1}p^{i_{k_1}k_1+i_{k_2}k_2}$. By the assumption that $n_{k_1} + n_{k_2} + k_1 \geq n_{k_0}$ and $d \leq p$, we have $e' \geq 1$ for any $1 \leq i_{k_1}, i_{k_2} \leq d-1$. Consider

$$f - e'g_0 = p^{n_{k_1}+n_{k_2}}(h(p^{k_1})^{i_{k_1}}h(p^{k_2})^{i_{k_2}} - p^{i_{k_1}k_1+i_{k_2}k_2}y^d) \tag{3}$$

and the coefficient

$$c_j := \sum \binom{p^{k_1}}{\alpha_1} \cdots \binom{p^{k_1}}{\alpha_{i_{k_1}}}\binom{p^{k_2}}{\beta_1} \cdots \binom{p^{k_2}}{\beta_{i_{k_2}}}$$

of y^j in $(f - e'g_0)p^{-(n_{k_1}+n_{k_2})}$ for $d+1 \leq j \leq p^{k_1}i_{k_1} + p^{k_2}i_{k_2}$, where the sum ranges over all partitions of $\sum_{l=1}^{i_{k_1}} \alpha_l + \sum_{l=1}^{i_{k_2}} \beta_l = j$ with $1 \leq \alpha_l \leq p^{k_1}$ and $1 \leq \beta_l \leq p^{k_2}$. For each $0 \leq v_p(j) \leq k_1 - 1$, there exists at least one α_l or β_l such that $v_p(\alpha_l) \leq v_p(j)$ or $v_p(\beta_l) \leq v_p(j)$ for each summand of c_j. Hence, we have $v_p(c_j) \geq k_1 - v_p(j)$ for all $d+1 \leq j \leq p^{k_1}i_{k_1} + p^{k_2}i_{k_2}$. Since $v_p(a(n_{k_0}, j)) = n_{k_0} - v_p(j)$ and $n_{k_0} \leq n_{k_1} + n_{k_2} + k_1$, we have $v_p(a(n_{k_0}, j)) \leq v_p(c_j) + n_{k_1} + n_{k_2}$, which means that each term of power of y in (3) is contained in $\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. This finishes the proof of the case $m = 2$.

Assume that the result holds for $m-1$. By the induction hypothesis, the result holds for each case of $i_{k_l} = 0$, $1 \leq l \leq m-2$, provided that $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_{k_0}$. Again by the induction hypothesis, the result holds for $i_{k_{m-1}} = 0$ (respectively, $i_{k_m} = 0$) if $n_{k_{m-2}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-2} \geq n_{k_0}$ (respectively, $n_{k_{m-2}} + n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-2} \geq n_{k_0}$). As $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} < n_{k_{m-2}} + k_{m-2}$ and $n_{k_m} < n_{k_{m-1}}$, the result holds for m if $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$. □

Remark 2.3. If $m = 1$, then the condition $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$ automatically holds for any case.

We shall need the following lemma, which generalize a proof of [2, Proposition 4.7].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $k_1, \cdots, k_m \in [1, v_p(\exp(A))]$ be indices of reduced sequence (n_k) of a central simple algebra A of p-power degree such that $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$ and $k_1 < \cdots < k_m$. Let $y_j = x^{p^{k_j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and set $k_0 = 0$. If $d < p$ (respectively, $d = p$ and $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$), then for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, any element of $\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is congruent to $(y_j - 1)^{d+1}\phi(y_j)$ modulo $p^{n_{k_{j-1}}+k_{j-1}-k_j}$ (respectively, $p^{n_{k_{j-1}}+k_{j-1}-k_j-1}$), where $\phi(y_j)$ is a polynomial depending on y_j and $X = \mathrm{SB}(A)$.*

Proof. Let $p_j = n_{k_{j-1}} + k_{j-1} - k_j$ (respectively, $p_j = n_{k_{j-1}} + k_{j-1} - k_j - 1$) if $d < p$ (respectively, if $d = p$ and $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$). Then, $p_j \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ since $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$ is equivalent to $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 > 0$ and $n_{k_{j-1}} + k_{j-1} - k_j - 1 \geq n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1$. Fix $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $y_j = x^{p^{k_j}}$. Then, we have $x^{p^{k_l}} = y_j^{p^{k_l - k_j}}$ for all $j \leq l \leq m$. Let

$$f = \prod_{l=0}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})(x^{p^{k_l}} - 1)^{i_{k_l}}, \ \sum_{l=0}^{m} i_{k_l} \geq d+1, \ i_{k_l} \geq 0$$

be a generator of $\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

If $\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} i_{k_l} = 0$, then f is a multiple of $\prod_{l=j}^{m} a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})(y_j^{p^{k_l-k_j}} - 1)^{i_{k_l}}$. As $\sum_{l=j}^{m} i_{k_l} \geq d+1$, the result follows.

Now we may assume that $i_{k_l} \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq l \leq j-1$ since we can exclude the term of $i_{k_l} = 0$ in f and rearrange the index. Moreover, if one of the $v_p(a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l}))$, $l = 0, \cdots, j-1$ is bigger or equal to p_j, then we are done. Hence, we may assume that

$$p_j > v_p(a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})) \tag{4}$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq j-1$. As $n_{k_l} + k_l \geq n_{k_{j-1}} + k_{j-1}$ for all $0 \leq l \leq j-1$ and $v_p(i_{k_l}) + v_p(a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})) = n_{k_l}$, we have

$$v_p(i_{k_l}) - k_j + k_l + v_p(a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})) \geq p_j \tag{5}$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq j-1$. The inequality (4) implies that

$$v_p(i_{k_l}) - k_j + k_l \geq 1 \,(\text{respectively, } v_p(i_{k_l}) - k_j + k_l \geq 2) \tag{6}$$

for $d < p$ (respectively, $d = p$) and all $0 \leq l \leq j-1$.

By Lemma [2, Lemma 4.8], for any $0 \leq l \leq j-1$ we have

$$a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})(x^{p^{k_l}} - 1)^{i_{k_l}} \equiv a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l})(y_j - 1)^{i_{k_l} \cdot p^{k_l - k_j}}$$

modulo $p^{v_p(i_{k_l}) - k_j + k_l + 1 + v_p(a(n_{k_l}, i_{k_l}))}$. As $d \leq p$, the result follows from (5) and (6). □

We are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer, A a central simple algebra of p-power degree such that* $\operatorname{ind}(A) > \exp(A)$ *and $p \geq d$, and (n_k) the reduced sequence of A. Let $k_1 < \cdots < k_m \in [1, v_p(\exp(A))]$ be the indices of the reduced sequence satisfying $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$ and $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$. Let $M_j = p^{(d-1)k_j}$ and $N_j = p^{n_{k_0} - (n_{k_j} + k_j)}$. Set $P_{l,m} = \max\{M_l, N_j \mid j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m\}$ and $k_0 = 0$. Assume that $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$ if $p = d$. Then, the torsion part of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is nontrivial, where $X = \mathrm{SB}(A)$. Moreover,*

(i) For $m = 1$, the torsion part of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is a cyclic group of order M_1 if $n_{k_1} + dk_1 \leq n_{k_0}$, N_1 (respectively, N_1/p) if $n_{k_1} + dk_1 > n_{k_0}$ (respectively, if $dk_1 > n_{k_0}$, $n_{k_1} = 0$, and $p = d$).

(ii) For $m \geq 2$, the torsion part of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is annihilated by $P_{l,m}$ if $n_{k_l} + dk_l \leq n_{k_0}$ and $n_{k_j} + dk_j \geq n_{k_0}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m$, N_m if $n_{k_j} + dk_j \geq n_{k_0}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Proof. Let $g_0, \cdots, g_m$ be elements of $\Gamma^d K(X)$ as in Lemma 2.2. For $1 \leq j \leq m$, let $e_j = a(n_{k_0}, d)a(n_{k_j}, d)^{-1}p^{-dk_j}$. As $d \leq p$, we have $a(n_{k_0}, d)a(n_{k_j}, d)^{-1} = p^{n_{k_0} - n_{k_j}}$. Hence, $e_j \geq 1$ (respectively, $e_j^{-1} \geq 1$) if $n_{k_0} \geq n_{k_j} + dk_j$ (respectively, $n_{k_0} \leq n_{k_j} + dk_j$). Consider an element $t_j = g_0 - e_j g_j$ (respectively, $t'_j =$

$e_j^{-1}g_0 - g_j)$ in $\Gamma^d K(X)$ for $n_{k_0} \geq n_{k_j} + dk_j$ (respectively, $n_{k_0} \leq n_{k_j} + dk_j$). By direct computation, one has

$$t_j = \begin{cases} p^{n_{k_0}} y^d - p^{n_{k_0} - dk_j} h(p^{k_j})^d & \text{if } d < p, \\ p^{n_{k_0}-1} y^d - p^{n_{k_0} - dk_j - 1} h(p^{k_j})^d & \text{if } d = p, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and

$$t_j' = \begin{cases} p^{n_{k_j}+dk_j} y^d - p^{n_{k_j}} h(p^{k_j})^d & \text{if } d < p, \\ p^{n_{k_j}+dk_j-1} y^d - p^{n_{k_j}-1} h(p^{k_j})^d & \text{if } d = p \text{ and } n_{k_j} > 0, \\ p^{dk_j} y^d - h(p^{k_j})^d & \text{if } d = p \text{ and } n_{k_j} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where $y = x - 1$, $h(u) = \sum_{v=1}^{u} \binom{u}{v} y^v$.

From now we assume that $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$ if $p = d$. We first show that $t_j \notin \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $\alpha = n_{k_0} - dk_j$ (respectively, $\alpha = n_{k_0} - dk_j - 1$) and $p_1 = n_{k_0} - k_1$ (respectively, $p_1 = n_{k_0} - k_1 - 1$) if $d < p$ (respectively, if $d = p$). Suppose that $t_j \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. Then by Lemma 2.4 and (7) we have

$$-p^{\alpha} h(p^{k_j})^d = (y_1 - 1)^{d+1}\phi(y_1) + p^{p_1}\psi(y_1),$$

for some polynomial $\psi(y_1)$. By substitution $y_1 - 1 = z$, we have $1 = z\phi'(z) + p^{k_1(d-1)}\psi'(z)$, a contradiction. Hence, $t_j \notin \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. Applying the same argument to $p^{(d-1)k_1-1}t_1$, together with Lemma 2.4, we obtain $p^{(d-1)k_1-1}t_1 \notin \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$.

Let $\beta = n_{k_j}$ (respectively, $\beta = n_{k_j} - 1$ or 0) and $p_j = n_{k_{j-1}} + k_{j-1} - k_j$ (respectively, $p_j = n_{k_{j-1}} + k_{j-1} - k_j - 1$) if $d < p$ (respectively, if $d = p$). Suppose that $t_j' \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. Again by Lemma 2.4 and (8) we obtain

$$-p^{\beta} h(p^{k_j})^d = (y_j - 1)^{d+1}\phi(y_j) + p^{p_j}\psi(y_j),$$

for some polynomial $\psi(y_j)$. By substitution $y_j - 1 = z_j$, we get $1 = z_j\phi'(z_j) + p^{p_j - \beta}\psi'(z)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $t_j' \notin \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. In particular, by the same argument one has $p^{n_{k_0} - k_1 - 2}t_1' \notin \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ if $n_{k_1} = 0$ and $p = d$, $p^{n_{k_0} - n_{k_1} - k_1 - 1}t_1' \notin \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ otherwise.

Consider the coefficient

$$c_r := \sum \binom{p^{k_j}}{\gamma_1} \cdots \binom{p^{k_j}}{\gamma_d}$$

of y^r in $h(p^{k_j})^d$ for $d + 1 \leq r \leq dp^{k_j}$, where the sum ranges over all partitions of $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_d = r$ with $1 \leq \gamma_i \leq p^{k_j}$. We claim that

$$v_p(c_r) \geq k_j - v_p(r) \text{ (respectively, } v_p(c_r) \geq k_j - v_p(r) + 1) \tag{9}$$

if $d < p$ (respectively, if $d = p$). If $d < p$, then for each $0 \leq v_p(r) \leq k_j$ there exists at least one γ_i such that $v_p(r) \geq v_p(\gamma_i)$ for each summand of c_r. Therefore, we have $k_j - v_p(r) \leq k_j - v_p(\gamma_i) \leq v_p(c_r)$. If $d = p$, then for each partition $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_p = r$ such that $\gamma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_p$ there are a multiple of p permutations of this partition. Moreover, the collection of such permutations form all partitions of $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_p = r$. Therefore, for each partition $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_p = r$ such that $\gamma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_p$ there exists at least one γ_i in the partition such that $v_p(r) \geq v_p(\gamma_i)$ and this appears at least a multiple of p times in c_r. Hence, $(k_j - v_p(\gamma_i)) + 1 \leq v_p(c_r)$, which proves the claim.

Let $M_j = p^{(d-1)k_j}$ and $N_j = p^{n_{k_0}-(n_{k_j}+k_j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. To show $M_j t_j \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$, it is enough to verify that each coefficient of y^r in $M_j t_j$ is a multiple of $a(n_{k_0}, r)$ for $d+1 \leq r \leq dp^{k_j}$. If $d < p$ (respectively, $d = p$), then the coefficient of y^r in $M_j t_j$ is $p^{n_{k_0}-k_j} c_r$ (respectively, $p^{n_{k_0}-k_j-1} c_r$). By (9), we have $v_p(a(n_{k_0}, r)) \leq n_{k_0} - k_j + v_p(c_r)$ (respectively, $v_p(a(n_{k_0}, r)) \leq n_{k_0} - k_j + v_p(c_r) - 1$) if $d < p$ (respectively, $d = p$). Therefore, $M_j t_j \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ for each case. If $d < p$ or $d = p$ and $n_{k_j} > 0$, then $M_j t_j = N_j t'_j$, which implies that $N_j t'_j \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. If $d = p$ and $n_{k_j} = 0$, then the coefficient of y^r in $(N_j/p)t'_j$ is $p^{n_0-k_j-1} c_r$. By (9), each coefficient of y^r in $(N_j/p)t'_j$ is a multiple of $a(n_{k_0}, r)$. Hence, $(N_j/p)t'_j \in \Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$.

So far we have shown that the classes of t_j and t'_j are torsion elements in $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. Moreover, theses are annihilated by M_j and N_j, respectively. In particular, the order of the class of t_1 is M_1 and the order of the class of t'_1 is N_1 or N_1/p, where the last case happens if $n_{k_1} = 0$ and $p = d$. Therefore, the torsion part of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is nontrivial.

Assume that $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$. Then by Lemma 2.2 the classes of $g_0, \cdots, g_m$ generate the quotient $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$. To finish the proof, we split into two cases.

Case 1: $n_{k_j} + dk_j \geq n_{k_0}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. As the orders of the classes of $g_0, \cdots, g_m$ are not finite, any torsion element in $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is a linear combination of the classes of $t'_1, \cdots, t'_m$. Since each class t'_j is annihilated by N_j and $N_j \leq N_{j+1}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, the torsion subgroup of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is annihilated by N_m. In particular, if $m = 1$, then the torsion subgroup of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is cyclic of order N_1 or N_1/p, where the latter case occurs if $n_{k_1} = 0$ and $p = d$.

Case 2: $n_{k_l} + dk_l \leq n_{k_0}$ and $n_{k_j} + dk_j \geq n_{k_0}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m$. By the same argument in the previous case, any torsion element in $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is a linear combination of the classes of t_l and t'_j for $j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m$. As the class t_l is annihilated by M_l and the classes t'_j, $j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m$, are annihilated by N_j, the torsion subgroup of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is annihilated by $\max\{M_l, N_j \,|\, j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m\}$. In particular, if $m = l = 1$, then the torsion subgroup of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is cyclic of order M_1. □

Remark 2.6. (i) Let $m \geq 2$. As $n_{k_j} + k_j > n_{k_{j+1}} + k_{j+1}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, indeed the number $P_{l,m}$ in Proposition 2.5 is equal to $\max\{N_{m-1}, M_m\}$ if $l = m$, $\max\{N_m, M_l\}$ otherwise.

(ii) Note that the condition $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 \neq 0$ is only used for the case $p = d$. Hence, by the proof of Proposition 2.5, the torsion part of $\Gamma^d K(X)/\Gamma^{d+1}K(X)$ is nontrivial for any central simple algebra of p-power degree such that $\operatorname{ind}(A) > \exp(A)$ and $d < p$.

(iii) The condition $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$ is only used when we apply Lemma 2.2. Therefore, if the other generators are generated by $g_1, \cdots, g_m$, then the proposition still holds without this condition. See the second example in Example 2.7.

Example 2.7. (i) Let A be a central simple algebra of $\operatorname{ind}(A) = 5^8$ and $\exp(A) = 5^5$ such that $\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes p}) = 5^7$, $\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes p^2}) = 5^4$, $\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes p^3}) = 5^2$, and $\operatorname{ind}(A^{\otimes p^4}) = 5$, i.e., A has the reduced sequence $(8, 7, 4, 2, 1, 0)$. Let $X = \mathrm{SB}(A)$. As $n_{k_1} = 4$ and $n_{k_2} = 2$, by Proposition 2.5 the torsion subgroups $\operatorname{Tors}\Gamma^2 K(X)/\Gamma^3 K(X)$ and $\operatorname{Tors}\Gamma^3 K(X)/\Gamma^4 K(X)$ are annihilated by 5^3.

(ii) Let A be a central simple algebra of $\operatorname{ind}(A) = 3^4$ such that the reduced sequence is $(4, 2, 0)$. As $n_{k_1} + n_{k_2} + k_1 < n_{k_0}$, we cannot apply Proposition 2.5 directly. However, by direct computation one has

$$h - 3g_0 - 9f_4 - 3q_9 - 3q_8 - 12q_7 - 82q_6 - 38q_5 - 32q_4 - 45q_3 = 0,$$

where $h = a(2,1)(x^3 - 1)a(0,1)(x^9 - 1)$, $f_i = a(9,i)(x^3 - 1)^i$, and $q_i = a(81,i)(x - 1)^i$. This implies that $h = 3g_0$ in $\Gamma^2 K(X)/\Gamma^3 K(X)$. Hence, the quotient group $\Gamma^2 K(X)/\Gamma^3 K(X)$ is generated by g_0, g_1, g_2. Hence, by applying Proposition 2.5, $\operatorname{Tors}\Gamma^2 K(X)/\Gamma^3 K(X)$ is annihilated by 3^2.

3. ANNIHILATORS OF TORSION OF SEVERI-BRAUER VARIETIES

In this section, we use Proposition 2.5 to prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $k_1, \cdots, k_m \in [1, v_p(\exp(A))]$ *be the (increasing) indices of the reduced sequence* (n_k) *of a central simple algebra* A *of* p*-power degree satisfying* $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$ *and* $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$*. Then for any* $2 \leq d \leq p-1$*, the torsion subgroup* $\operatorname{Tors}\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ *is annihilated by*

$$N_m^{d-1} \qquad \text{if } \forall j \in [1,m] : t_j = 1,$$

$$N_m^{d-t_l} \prod_{i=2}^{t_l} P_i \qquad \text{if for some } l : t_l \neq 1 \text{ and } \forall j \in [1,m]\backslash\{l\} : t_j = 1,$$

where $N_j = p^{n_0 - n_{k_j} - k_j}$*,* $M_{i,j} = p^{(i-1)k_j}$*,* $t_j = \max\{1 \leq s \leq d \,|\, n_{k_j} + sk_j < n_0\}$*, and* $P_i = \max\{M_{i,l}, N_j \,|\, j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m\}$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq m$ *and* $i \geq 2$*.*

Proof. Let $X = \mathrm{SB}(A)$. By the proof of [1, Corollary 6.8], if for any $2 \leq i \leq d$ the torsion subgroup $\operatorname{Tors}\Gamma^i K(X)/\Gamma^{i+1} K(X)$ is annihilated by an integer a_i, then the torsion subgroup of the topological filtration $\operatorname{Tors}\mathrm{T}^d K(X)/\mathrm{T}^{d+1} K(X)$ is annihilated by products $\prod_{i=2}^d a_i$. As $d \leq p$, by the Riemann-Roch theorem the torsion subgroup $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ is annihilated by $\prod_{i=2}^d a_i$ as well. Hence, it is enough to find annihilators of $\operatorname{Tors}\Gamma^i K(X)/\Gamma^{i+1} K(X)$.

Let $t_j = \max\{1 \leq s \leq d \,|\, n_{k_j} + sk_j < n_0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. If $t_j = 1$ for all j, i.e., $n_{k_j} + ik_j \geq n_0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and all j, then by Proposition 2.5 N_m annihilates the torsion part $\operatorname{Tors}\Gamma^i K(X)/\Gamma^{i+1} K(X)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$. Hence, the result follows. If $t_l \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $t_j = 1$ for all $j \in [1,m]\backslash\{l\}$, then $n_{k_l} + ik_l < n_0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq t_l$, $n_{k_l} + sk_l \geq n_0$ for all $s \geq t_l + 1$, and $n_{k_j} + ik_j \geq n_0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and all j but l. Therefore, the result follows from Proposition 2.5. □

Remark 3.2. In particular, if $m = 1$, then for any $2 \leq d \leq p - 1$, the torsion part $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^d(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ is annihilated by

$$\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{t_1} M_{i,1}\Big) N_1^{d-t_1}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.5, we obtain the same result for the torsion subgroup $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^p(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ under the additional condition $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 > 0$:

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $k_1, \cdots, k_m \in [1, v_p(\exp(A))]$ *be the* (*increasing*) *indices of the reduced sequence* (n_k) *of a central simple algebra* A *of* p*-power degree such that* $n_{k_j} \leq n_{k_{j-1}} - 2$, $n_{k_{m-1}} + n_{k_m} + k_{m-1} \geq n_0$, *and* $n_{k_{m-1}} + k_{m-1} - k_m - 1 > 0$. *Then, the torsion subgroup* $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^p(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ *is annihilated by*

$$\begin{aligned} &N_m^{p-1} && \text{if } \forall j \in [1,m] : t_j = 1, \\ &N_m^{p-t_l}\prod_{i=2}^{t_l} P_i && \text{if for some } l : t_l \neq 1 \text{ and } \forall j \in [1,m]\backslash\{l\} : t_j = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_j = p^{n_0 - n_{k_j} - k_j}$, $M_{i,j} = p^{(i-1)k_j}$, $t_j = \max\{1 \leq s \leq d \,|\, n_{k_j} + sk_j < n_0\}$, *and* $P_i = \max\{M_{i,l}, N_j \,|\, j = 1, \cdots, \hat{l}, \cdots, m\}$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq m$ *and* $i \geq 2$.

Remark 3.4. Indeed, in the case where $m = 1$, $n_{k_1} = 0$, and $t_1 < d$, by Proposition 2.5(i) we can improve the result: the torsion part $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^p(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ is annihilated by

$$p^{-1}\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{t_1} M_{i,1}\Big) N_1^{p-t_1}.$$

Example 3.5. (i) Let A be a central simple algebra of a prime exponent p such that $\operatorname{ind}(A) > \exp(A)$. Then, Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 imply that for any $2 \leq d \leq p$ the torsion subgroup $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^d(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ is annihilated by $p^{d(d-1)/2}$ if $v_p(\operatorname{ind}(A)) \geq d + 1$.

(ii) Let A be a central simple algebra of $\operatorname{ind}(A) = p^{30}$ and $\exp(A) = p^{27}$ such that $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 4$, $k_3 = 5$, $n_{k_1} = 27$, $n_{k_2} = 24$, and $n_{k_3} = 22$. Then by Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, for any $2 \leq d \leq p$ the torsion subgroup $\operatorname{Tors}\operatorname{CH}^d(\operatorname{SB}(A))$ is annihilated by p^{3d-3}.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Baek, E. Neher, K. Zainoulline, *Basic polynomial invariants, fundamental representations and the Chern class map*, Doc. Math. **17** (2012), 135–150.

[2] N. Karpenko, *Codimension 2 cycles on Severi-Brauer varieties*, K-Theory **13** (1998), no. 4, 305–330.

[3] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **341**, Springer (1973), 85–147.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, 291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: `baek.sanghoon@gmail.com`